

# Memorandum

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT  
Moab District

IN REPLY REFER TO:  
U-45312  
8143  
(U-060)

To : Area Manager, San Juan

Date:

FROM : ~~Asst~~ District Manager, Moab

SEP 19 1980

SUBJECT: Advisory Council Concurrence on the  
Energy Fuels Exchange Proposal

Attached is the original copy of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation's concurrence with the Energy Fuels Exchange Proposal.

Please place this in the official case file to document the completion of the Section 106 comment process.

*Kenneth V. Rhea*

Enclosure:  
Advisory Council letter  
with stamped concurrence (2)

cc:  
Rich Fike, (U-931)  
James Dykman, SHPO Office  
George Glasier, Energy Fuels

BLM-Monticelio

SEP 22 '80

	Act.	Info.	Init.
A.M.			
Asst. AM			R2
Eng. WL			
Und-SP	1		mm
Ext. Pin			
Ext. Rgr			
Admin.			
Oper.			
Reg. Ctr			

SEP 8 1980



# United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

Moab District  
P. O. Box 970  
Moab, Utah 84532

IN REPLY REFER TO

U-45312  
8143  
(U-060)

Mr. Louis Wall  
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation  
Lake Plaza South, Suite 616  
44 Union Boulevard  
Lakewood, Colorado 80228

Re: Energy Fuels Land Exchange

Dear Mr. Wall:

On August 15, 1980, we requested from the Keeper of the National Register a determination of eligibility for the Energy Fuels Parcel as an addition to the already eligible White Mesa archeological district. A carbon copy of that documentation minus the 194 site forms was sent to you at that time.

Accordingly, we have analyzed the cultural impacts of the proposed land exchange and have made a Determination of No Adverse Effect (see Enclosure 1 for documentation). This finding is based on the inclusion of the enclosed cultural preservation covenant in the deed to be binding on Energy Fuels Nuclear, Inc., and its successors in interest (Enclosure 2). Since the Utah State Historical Preservation Office helped us construct the cultural preservation stipulation, we are confident of their concurrence and are seeking such documentation concurrently with this letter.

Your earlier letter of June 22, 1979 (see Enclosure 3), shows an appreciation of the nature of the cultural resources of the area. The Utah SHPO concurrence that the parcel is eligible is also attached for your information (Enclosure 4). These should help you expedite your finding in this matter.

Please note that the boundaries of the proposed exchange are not identical with the proposed addition to the White Mesa Archeological District (eligible). Compare Appendix B, outlining the exchange, with Enclosure 5, which shows the proposed addition to the National Register District.

We believe you now have sufficient documentation to comment on the proposed Energy Fuels exchange. We therefore request your formal concurrence in this action at the earliest possible date, but in no case later than 30 days after

SEP 04 1980

**CONCUR**

SEP 15 1980

ADVISORY COUNCIL  
ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

BY *[Signature]*

receipt of this letter per 36 CFR 800.6. If documentation is inadequate, please inform us within 15 days of specific deficiencies so that we can remedy these problems.

If there are any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our district archeologist, Bruce D. Louthan, at (801) 259-6111.

Sincerely yours,



District Manager

Enclosures (5)

- 1-No Adverse Effect Documentation (4)
- 2-Cultural Stipulation (7)
- 3-Advisory Council Letter (1)
- 4-SHP0 Eligibility Concurrment (1)
- 5-NR District Map (1)

cc:

Rich Fike (U-931) (w/o encl.)  
James Dykman, SHPO Office  
George Glasier, Energy Fuels  
Opa1 Redshaw (U-604)